







UNITED STATES ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21010

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM OF CANDIDATE INSECT REPELLENT
AI3-20351 (10.9.80)
US DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE PROPRIETARY CHEMICAL
STUDY NO. 75-51-0286-82
FEBRUARY 1981 - JANUARY 1982

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited.

SHAY 17. 1982

82 05 17 158

Ibel easified

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
75-51-0286-82	NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
. TITLE (and Subtitio)	S. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
Topical Hazard Evaluation Program of Candidate Insect Repellent AI3-20351 (10.9.80)	Final, Feb 81-Jan 82
US Department of Agriculture Proprietary Chemi	cal s. Performing ong. Report Number
Study No. 75-51-0286-82	S. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
Michael J. Topper, CPT, VC	1
John G. Harvey, Jr.	ł
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency	AND TO WORK ON THOUSE
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010	
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
Commander	Feb 81-Jan 82
US Army Health Services Command	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234 4. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillerent from Controlling Offi	ce) 15. SECURITY CLASS, (of this report)
- MONITORING AGENCY NAME - AGENCESSIT BITTER TOWN CONTROLLING CHIL	Unclassified
	onclassified
	1
	154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE

17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)

18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) AI3-20351 (10.9.80) USDA Proprietary Chemical Skin Irritation Topical Hazard Evaluation Program Eye Irritation Guinea Pig Sensitization

Preliminary hazard evaluations of AI3-20351 (10.9.80) was performed by means of laboratory animal studies using rats, rabbits, and guinea pigs. The technical grade chemical produced mild injury to the cornea of rabbits. It did not cause skin irritation or prove to be acutely toxic by ingestion. Chemical AI3-20351 (10.9.80) produced a moderate sensitization reaction in 6 out of 10 guines pigs. Based on the skin sensitization, it is recommended that this chemical not be approved for further testing as a candidate.

DD 1/M 72 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 48 IS OBSOLETE

Unclassified



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY CPT Topper/1h/AUTOVON U.S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY 584-3980

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND 21010

REPLY TO

HSHB-LT/WP

12 MAY 1972

SUBJECT: Topical Hazard Evaluation Program of Candidate Insect Repellent

AI3-20351 (10.9.80), US Department of Agriculture Proprietary

Chemical, Study No. 75-51-0286-82, Feb 81 - Jan 82

Executive Secretary Armed Forces Pest Management Board Forest Glen Section, WRAMC Washington, DC 20012

A summary of the pertinent findings and recommendations of the inclosed report follows:

Preliminary hazard evaluations of AI3-20351 (10.9.80) was performed by means of laboratory animal studies using rats, rabbits, and guinea pigs. The technical grade chemical produced mild injury to the cornea of rabbits. It did not cause skin irritation or prove to be acutely toxic by ingestion. Chemical AI3-20351 (10.9.80) produced a moderate sensitization reaction in 6 out of 10 guinea pigs. Based on the skin sensitization, it is recommended that this chemical not be approved for further testing as a candidate insect repellent.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

1 Incl as (5 cy) LITC, MSC Director, Laboratory Sciences

HQDA (DASG-PSP) wo incl Cdr, HSC (HSPA-P) Dir, Advisory Cen on Tox, NRC Comdt, AHS (HSHA-IPM) USDA, ARS (Dr. Terrence McGovern) USDA, ARS-Southern Region

		alon Fo)r	
	N. Dr Unem Just	'4&I - d ificatio	n	
	Ву			
Distribution/				
MEPERTED	TAVALLADITILY CODES			
	Dist	Avail and/or Special		
	A			



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY U. S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND 21010

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM OF CANDIDATE INSECT REPELLENT A13-20351 (10.9.80)

US DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE PROPRIETARY CHEMICAL STUDY NO. 75-51-0286-82
FEBRUARY 1981 - JANUARY 1982

1. AUTHORITY.

- a. Letter, US Department of Agriculture Agricultural Research Service, Southern Region, Insects Affecting Man and Animals Research Laboratory, Gainesville, Florida, 12 February 1981.
- b. Memorandum of Understanding between the US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency; the US Army Health Services Command; the Department of The Army, Office of The Surgeon General; the Armed Forces Pest Control Board; and the US Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research, Science and Education Administrations, titled, Coordination of Biological and Toxicological Testing of Pesticides, effective 23 January 1979.
- 2. REFERENCE. Toxicology Division Standing Operating Procedures, US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency (USAEHA), 1981.
- 3. PURPOSE. The purpose of this program is to provide guidance for further entomological testing of the candidate insect repellent AI3-20351 (10.9.80).
- 4. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS. Hazard evaluations of the candidate repellent AI3-20351 (10.9.80), US Department of Agriculture (USDA) Proprietary Chemical was conducted by this Agency using New Zealand White rabbits for skin and eye studies, Hartley guinea pigs for a skin sensitization study and Sprague-Dawley rats for determination of oral toxicity. A tabular presentation of animal toxicity data developed in this Agency follows:*†

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited.

^{*} In conducting the studies described in this report, the investigators adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," US Department of Health, Education and Welfare Publication No. (NIH) 80-23, revised 1978.

t The studies reported herein were performed in animal facilities fully accredited by the American Association for the Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care.

Study No. 75-51-0286-82, Feb 81 - Jan 82

TABLE. PRESENTATION OF DATA

Test	Results	Interpretation
SKIN IRRITATION STUDIES		
Rabbits		
Single 24-hour application to intact and abraded skin of New Zealand White rabbits.	Chemical AI3-20351 (10.9.80) did not cause any irritation of the intact skin or of the skin surrounding an abrasion.	USAEHA Category I (ref Appendix A)
0.5 mL technical grade chemical applied to each of six rabbits.		
EYE IRRITATION STUDIES		
Rabbits		
Single 24-hour application of 0.1 mL technical grade chemical to one eye of each of nine New Zealand White Rabbits. Three of the nine rabbits had the eye flushed with warm water for 1 minute 25 seconds after application.	Chemical AI3-20351 (10.9.80) produced mild injury to the cornea. Application followed by washing did not cause any irritation to the eyes of rabbits.	USAEHA Category B (ref Appendix A)
APPROXIMATE LETHAL DOSE (ALE	<u>)</u>	
<u>Oral</u>		
Rats (male)-no diluent	4311 mg/kg	AI3-20351 (10.9.80) should not be acutely toxic by accidental ingestion

Test

Results

Interpretation,

SENSITIZATION STUDIES

<u>Guinea Pigs (Male)</u>

Intradermal injections of 0.1 mL of a 0.1 percent solution (w/v) of AI3-20351 (10.9.80) or of dinitrochlorobenzene (DNCB)* in a mixture containing 1 volume of propylene glycol and 29 volumes of saline.

Ten test guinea pigs for each chemical were given ten sensitizing doses over a 3-week period. After 2 weeks rest, they were challenged with ID injections of test chemical.

Ten positive control guinea pigs were sensitized over 3 weeks with DNCB. After 2 weeks rest, they were challenged with ID injections of DNCB.

Challenge doses of AI3-20351 (10.9.80) produced a sensitization reaction in 6 out of 10 guinea pigs.

Challenge dose of DNCB in positive control guinea pigs produced a marked sensitization reaction in 10 out of 10 guinea pigs.

Chemical AI3-20351 (10.9.80) produced a moderate sensitization reaction under test conditions and may produce sensitization reaction in man.

DNCB produced a marked reaction, indicating the guinea pigs respond to sensitizing agents.

^{*} A known skin sensitizer.

^{5.} CONCLUSION. Technical grade chemical AI3-20351 (10.9.80) produced mild injury to the cornea of rabbits. It did not cause skin irritation or prove to be acutely toxic by ingestion. Chemical AI3-20351 (10.9.80) produced a moderate sensitization reaction in guinea pigs and may be expected to cause such a reaction in humans. The Analytical Quality Assurance review is listed in Appendix B.

Study No. 75-51-0286-82, Feb 81 - Jan 82

6. RECOMMENDATION. Under the provisions of the Memorandum of Understanding (paragraph 1b); it is recommended that AI3-20351 (10.9.80) not be approved for further testing as a candidate insect repellent.

MICHAEL J. TOPPER, OVM

CPT, VC

Laboratory Animal Veterinary Officer

Toxicology Division:

JOHN G. HARVEY, JR.

Biological Laboratory Technician

Toxicology Division

APPROVED:

ARTHUR H. McCREESH, Ph.D. Chief, Toxicology Division

1

APPENDIX A

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM DEFINITIONS OF CATEGORIES OF COMPOUNDS BEING CONSIDERED FOR ACUTE SKIN APPLICATION

<u>CATEGORY I</u> - Compounds producing no primary irritation of the intact skin or no greater than mild primary irritation of the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: No restriction for acute application to the human skin.)

<u>CATEGORY II</u> - Compounds producing mild primary irritation of the intact skin and the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: Should be used only on human skin found by examination to have no abrasions or may be used as a clothing impregnant.)

CATEGORY III - Compounds producing moderate primary irritation of the intact skin and the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: Should not be used directly on the skin without a prophetic patch test having been conducted on humans to determine irritation potential to human skin. May be used without patch testing, with extreme caution, as clothing impregnants. Compound should be resubmitted in the form and at the intended use concentration so that its irritation potential can be reexamined using other test techniques on animals.)

CATEGORY IV - Compounds producing moderate to severe primary irritation of the intact skin and of the skin surrounding an abrasion and, in addition, producing necrosis, vesiculation, and/or eschars. (INTERPRETATION: Should be resubmitted for testing in the form and at the intended use concentration. Upon resubmission, its irritation potential will be reexamined using other test techniques on animals, prior to possible prophetic patch testing in humans, at concentrations which have been shown not to produce primary irritation in animals.)

CATEGORY V - Compounds impossible to classify because of staining of the skin or other masking effects owing to physical properties of the compound. (INTERPRETATION: Not suitable for use on humans.)

EYE CATEGORIES:

- A. <u>Compounds noninjurious to the eye</u>. INTERPRETATION: Irritation of human eyes is not expected if the compound should accidentally get into the eyes, provided it is washed out as soon as possible.
- B. <u>Compounds producing mild injury to the cornea</u>. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with caution around the eyes.
- C. Compounds producing mild injury to the cornea, and in addition some injury to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with caution around the eyes and mucosa.
- D. <u>Compounds producing moderate injury to the cornea</u>. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution around the eyes.
- E. Compounds producing moderate injury to the cornea, and in addition producing some injury to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution around the eyes and mucosa.
- F. Compounds producing severe injury to the cornea and to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution. It is recommended that use be restricted to areas other than the face.

15-51-0286-82, Feb 81 - Jan 82

APPENDIX B

ANALYTICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE

ical Quality Assurance Office certifies the following with regard udy:

is study was conducted in accordance with:

-) Standing Operating Procedures developed by the Toxicology USAEHA.
- !) Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations, 1981 rev, Part 58, Good / Practice for Nonclinical Laboratories Studies.
 acilities were inspected during its operational phase to insure with paragraph a above.

he information presented in this report accurately reflects the raw rated during the course of conducting the study.

PAUL V. SNEERINGER, PR.D.

Chief, Analytical Quality

Assurance Office

